The International Association of Forensic Toxicologists - TIAFT 2023 Rome, Aug 27th-31st,2023



Mobile Mass Spectrometry Laboratory: From drug screening to confirmation on-site



<u>Flaminia Vincenti^{1,2*}, Manuel Sergi¹, Camilla Montesano¹, Federico Fanti³, Luca Marchetti², </u> David De Petris², Paolo Dossetto⁴, <u>Stefano Marchetti²</u>

> ¹ Sapienza University of Rome, Department of Chemistry, 00185 Rome, Italy ² Forensic Lab Service, 00121 Rome, Italy ³ Department of Bioscience and Technology for Food, Agriculture and Environment, University of Teramo, 64100 Teramo, Italy ⁴ SCIEX, Italy

e-mail: *flaminia.vincenti@uniroma1.it*, *s.marchetti@forensiclabservice.it*

Background

Driving under the influence of drugs (DRUID) is a main issue in many countries. In Italy, Art. 187 of the c.d.s., forbids driving under the influence of drugs. In these cases, Oral Fluid (OF) or saliva is considered as premium matrix for drug-of-abuse testing [1,2]. The main advantages of OF are the simplicity and noninvasiveness of sample collection, which can be easily observed and making adulteration more difficult. Infection risk is lower than for blood, and similarly to blood, OF can reflect recent drug use appropriately, in fact substances can be detected in OF for short periods of time, typically up to 12–24 h after the assumption. Control, performed by Police Forces involved two steps, a screening test, and eventually a confirmatory test. Saliva samples taken for confirmation (second level) are sent from all Italian cities to the central toxicology laboratory by courier and analyzed using chromatographic methods coupled with mass spectrometry. This process presents some critical issues, since the samples in custody are shipped in unpredictable times and in uncontrolled conditions (e.g.: temperature, place of custody, etc ...).



F RENSIC LAB SERVICE

Aim

Due to the small amount of OF which can be sampled, it is very important to have a multitarget, rapid, effective, accurate and simple method [3]. Following the European Integrated Project DRUIDS, the aim of the present project is to gain new insights to the real degree of impairment caused by psychoactive drugs and their actual impact on road safety [2].

Here is presented a protocol for the simultaneous analysis of several psychoactive substances belonging to different classes, i.e. amphetamines, cannabinoids, stimulants, opioids, cocaine ant its metabolites.

Innovation and protocol



The innovation and uniqueness of what is here presented, lies in the fact that all the steps of the DRUID control take place on a mobile lab, from screening to confirmation and quantitative analysis. In the mobile lab, the simultaneous presence of different specialized personnel, from technicians to doctors to chemists, allows to obtain a comprehensive and certified response in about 30 minutes

Screening test



results from the device is qualitative: "*positive*" or "*negative*" and is used as a preliminary test

If screening test

is positive:

Confirmation test







 $200 \ \mu L$ of the solution from disposable device 200µL MeOH solution containing ISs

30 sec vortex





Results

HPLC-MS/MS Method **MS/MS** paramete HPLC parameter: B.Conc A.Conc Column: C18 50x2.1 ID mm – 5 μm particles Column Temperature: 40°C Mobile phase A: $H_20 + 0,1\%$ FA Mobile phase B: Methanol + 0,1% FA Injection volume: 2µL 6.00 min 9.00 0 0.00 12.00 15. 3.00



۰r	Analyte	Q1	rT	Q1	DP	EP	Q3	CE	СХР	
	Morphine	Morphine-D6	1.8	286.1	120	10	165	60	12	
							181	48	12	
00	Codeine	Codeine-D6	3.4	300.1	120	10	165.1	64	12	
							153.1	62	12	
	Amphetamine	Amphetamine-D5	37	136.1	45	10	91	27	12	
			017				119	13	12	
	6-MAM	6-MAM-D6	3.9	328.0	140	10	165	53	12	
							211.2	38	12	
	MDA	MDA-D5	4.1	180.2	50	10	133.1	25	12	
							135.1	27	12	
	Methamphetamine Meth	Methamphetamine-D5	4.2	150.1	60	10	119.1	16	12	
							91	29	12	
	BEG	BEG-D3	4.3	290.1	95	10	168.1	25	12	
							105	44	12	
	MDMA	MDMA-D5	4.5	194.2	65	10	163	18	12	
							105	34	12	
	MDE	MDE-D6	5.1	208.2	62	10	163.1	29	12	
							135.1	25	12	
	Ketamine	No-IS	5.3	238.0	65	10	125	38	12	
							179.1	25	12	
	MBDB	MBDB-D3	5.4	208.2	60	10	135.2	31	12	
							177	15	12	
	Cocaine	Cocaine-D3	6.0	304.2	120	10	182.2	27	12	
							105.2	34	12	
	Cocaethylene	Cocaethylene-D3	6.8	318.1	100	10	196.1	28	12	
							150	35	12	
	Buprenorphine	Buprenorphine-D4	7.8	468.2	90	10	396.1	53	10	
							414.3	48	10	
	EDDP	EDDP-D3	8.0	278.1	120	10	234	42	12	
							249.1	33	12	
	THC	THC-D3	8.6	315.2	50	10	193.2	33	12	
							123.2	45	12	
	Methadone	Methadone-D9	8.9	310.2	80	10	265.1	22	12	
							223.1	30	12	

To date, several control were performed in over than 50 Italian cities. Over 3300 screening test and over 300 confirmation test were performed.





Thanks to this project, it was possible to provide an important contribution by analyzing data regarding drug consumption in Italy and identifying which substances are more used.

Conclusions

As innovative and unique feature of the presented study, all the steps of the DRUID control take place on a mobile Lab, from screening to confirmation and quantitative analysis. Thanks to this project comprehensive and certified response is possible and is provided in about 30 min. Results demonstrate the applicability, the effectiveness and the usefulness of the entire protocol, to find answers to questions concerning the use of drugs or medicines that affect people's ability to drive safely. This particular protocol was also validated according to international guidelines. This project is still active thanks to several national collaborations.

References

- European Monitoring Center for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA), Publications Office of the European Union (2021)
- A.M. Projektvorstellung DRUID [The "Driving under the Influence of Drugs, Alcohol and Medicines" (DRUID) project of the European Commission]. Dtsch Med Wochenschr. (2008) 133- 2: 45-46.
- N. Badawi, K. Wiese Simonsen, A. Steentoft, I.M. Bernhoft, K. Linnet, Simultaneous Screening and Quantification of 29 Drugs of Abuse in Oral Fluid by Solid-Phase Extraction and Ultraperformance LC-MS/MS, Clinical Chemistry 55-11, (2009) 2004–2018.

